

“Am I That I Am”

Where God’s knowledge is an absolute, man’s is seeking comprehension. Where a question presents, man seeks the answer known to God.

“Am I That I Am” is correct from a standpoint that it is a question unlike the answer, “I Am That I Am” provided by God. Where we accept God’s answer as an absolution, man’s question requires action to obtain absolution; such actions involve seeking answer(s) which define a man. Attempts to find an answer to a question requires one to understand the question. Seeking an answer not knowing the absolution of the question does not only fail the man but is a failure before God even though the words are the same. Order does matter for without order you have chaos, so sit down, stay quiet, do as your are told and listen and learn and then maybe you will understand the meaning.

If “I” was a robot appearing before a tribunal bringing forth a flawed, “I” would not only fail “I” but all.

In the absolute end, “I” shall be judged by actions which defined the “I”. Within, one most continuously strive to be better than “I” and fail not to remember, the final absolution will only be known between “I” and “I Am That I Am.”

So, who am “I”? Absolute answer depends upon what “I” seeks.